

Equality Screening Template for Procurement Policy - 2025

Date: 16 May 2025

Connect with us www.librariesni.org.uk

Equality Screening Template

Part 1: Policy Scoping

Information about the policy

Name of the policy
Name of the policy:
Procurement Policy
le this an existing revised or a new nelicy?
Is this an existing, revised or a new policy?
Existing/Revised
What is it trying to achieve? (intended sime/outcomes)
What is it trying to achieve? (intended aims/outcomes)
The policy aims to ensure that procurement in Libraries NI is in line with all relevant
legislation and regulations
Are there any Section 75 categories which might be expected to benefit from
the intended policy?If so, explain how.
No – the policy will apply equally to all S 75 categories
Who initiated or wrote the policy?
•
Rita Mc Namee, Finance Manager
Truta mo ramos, i manos managor
Who owns and who implements the policy?
The policy is owned by the Director of Business Support . Responsibility for
implementation lies with the Procurement Officer (Goods and Services), Assets
Manager (Premises-related) and ICT Manager (ICT).
5 (······

Implementation Factors

Are there any factors which could contribute to/detract from the intended aim/outcome of the policy/decision? If yes, are they:

Y	Financial
Y	Legislative
	Other, please specify:

Main Stakeholders Affected

Who are the internal and external stakeholders (actual or potential) that the policy will impact upon?

Y	Staff
Υ	Service Users
Y	Other Public Sector Organisations
	Voluntary/Community/Trade Unions
Y	Other, please specify: 3 rd Party Suppliers

Other policies with a bearing on this policy

- What are they?
- Who owns them?

Environmental Policy – Assets Manager	
Customer First Strategy - Head of Service – North & West	

Available Evidence

What evidence/information (both qualitative and quantitative) have you gathered to inform this policy? Specify details for each of the section 75 categories

Section 75 category	Details of evidence/information		
Religious belief	The policy applies to, and impacts on all section 75 categories in a fair and consistent manner, and no qualitative or quantitative evidence has been gathered in respect of this policy		
Political opinion	As above		
Racial group	As above		
Age	As above		
Marital status	As above		
Sexual orientation	As above		
Men women generally	As above		
Disability	As above		
Dependants	As above		

Needs, Experiences and Priorities

Taking into account the information referred to above, what are the different needs, experiences and priorities of each of the following categories, in relation to the particular policy/decision? Specify for each of the section 75 categories.

Section 75 category	Details of needs/experiences/priorities		
Religious belief	The policy applies consistently to all suppliers and potential suppliers		
Political opinion	As above		
Racial group	As above		
Age	As above		
Marital status	As above		
Sexual orientation	As above		
Men women generally	As above		
Disability	As above		
Dependants	As above		

Part 2. Screening Questions

1 What is the likely impact on equality of opportunity for those affected by this policy, for each of the Section 75 equality categories?					
Section 75 category	Details of policy impact	Level of impact? Minor/major/none			
Religious belief		None			
Political opinion		None			
Racial group		None			
Age		None			
Marital status		None			
Sexual orientation		None			
Men women generally		None			
Disability		None - provided consideration given to accessibility for those with specific needs			
Dependants		None			

2 Are there opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity for people within the Section 75 equality categories? Section 75 If yes, provide details If no, provide reasons category The policy provides that procurement is generally conducted by Religious competition appropriate to the level belief of spend, in which there are no barriers to participation in relation to these categories As above **Political** opinion As above Racial group As above Age As above Marital status As above Sexual orientation As above Men women generally By addressing accessibility As above needs as necessary Disability As above **Dependants**

To what extent is the policy likely to impact on good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group? Minor/major/none					
Section 75 category	Details of policy impact	Level of impact Minor/major/none			
Religious belief	None	None			
Political opinion	None	None			
Racial group	None	None			

4 Are there opportunities to better promote good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group?					
Section 75 category	If yes, provide details	If no, provide reasons			
Religious belief		The nature of the policy does not allow for the opportunity to promote good relations			
Political opinion		NA			
Racial group		NA			

Additional Considerations

Multiple identity

Provide details of data on the impact of the policy on people with multiple identities. Specify relevant section 75 categories concerned

The policy applies fairly and consistently to all persons impacted by the policy, irrespective of their membership of a particular section 75 category, and the issues of multiple identity is already covered in the previous responses.
Part 3. Screening Decision
If the decision is not to conduct an equality impact assessment, please provide details of the reason.
As The policy does not have any adverse impact on any of the Section 75 categories, provided consideration given to those with specific needs, in my view it is not necessary to conduct an EQIA.
If the decision is not to conduct an equality impact assessment consider if the policy should be mitigated or an alternative policy be introduced.
The policy addresses a statutory requirement for the organisation, and so cannot be mitigated or replaced by an alternative policy.
If the decision is to subject the policy to an equality impact assessment, please provide details of the reason
NA

Mitigation

Can the policy/decision be amended or changed or an alternative policy introduced to better promote equality of opportunity and/or good relations?

If so, give the **reasons** to support your decision, together with the proposed changes/amendments or alternative policy.

NA			

Timetabling and Prioritising

If the policy has be '**screened in'** for equality impact assessment, then please answer the following questions to determine its priority for timetabling the equality impact assessment.

On a scale of 1-3, with 1 being the lowest priority and 3 being the highest, assess the policy in terms of its priority for equality impact assessment.

Priority criterion	Rating (1 - 3)
Effect on equality of opportunity and good relations	NA
Social need	NA
Effect on people's daily lives	NA
Relevance to a public authority's functions	NA

Note: The Total Rating Score should be used to prioritise the policy in rank order with other policies screened in for equality impact assessment. This list of priorities will assist in timetabling. Details of the Equality Impact Assessment Timetable should be included in the quarterly Screening Report.

F	Part 4. Monitoring
١	NA
ľ	f yes, please provide details:
ľ	NO

The policy will be monitored through internal reporting and review

Is the policy affected by timetables established by other relevant public authorities?

Part 5. Approval and Authorisation

Screened by:	Position/Job Title:	Date:
Rita McNamee	Finance Manager	14.5.2025
Approved by:		
D Mobels	Director of Business Support	16/05/2022

Note: A copy of the Screening template, for each policy screened should be 'signed off' and approved by a senior manager responsible for the policy, made easily accessible on the website as soon as possible following completion and made available on request.