

Part 1. Policy Scoping

Information about the policy

Name of the policy

Application Security Policy

Is this an existing, revised or a new policy?

New

What is it trying to achieve? (intended aims/outcomes)

To provide the minimum-security standard applicable to applications used in Libraries NI. All such application software is considered to be at high-risk, but some particularly high-risk systems will need to take additional security steps beyond those prescribed in this document.

This Application Security Policy applies to all information systems and information system components of the IT environment. Specifically, it includes:

- Servers and other devices that provide centralised computing capabilities
- SAN, NAS and other devices that provide centralised storage capabilities
- Desktops, laptops and other devices that provide distributed computing capabilities
- Routers, switches and other devices that provide network capabilities
- Firewalls, IDP sensors and other devices that provide dedicated security capabilities

Are there any Section 75 categories which might be expected to benefit from the intended policy?

If so, explain how.

None

Who initiated or wrote the policy?

Libraries NI IT Security Manager

Who owns and who implements the policy?

Head of ICU/ICT

Implementation Factors

Are there any factors which could contribute to/detract from the intended aim/outcome of the policy/decision?

<u>No</u> fa	actors identified
x	Financial Legislative Other, please specify
Main	stakeholders affected
Who upon	are the internal and external stakeholders (actual or potential) that the policy will impact ?
х	staff
х	Service users
	Other public sector organisations
	Voluntary/community/trade unions
	Other, please specify_Board Members, Departments etc

Other policies with a bearing on this policy

- What are they? The suite of IT Security Policies
- Who owns them? Head of ICU/ICT

Available evidence

What evidence/information (both qualitative and quantitative) have you gathered to inform this policy? Specify details for each of the section 75 categories

Section 75 category	Details of evidence/information
Religious belief	No evidence
Political opinion	No evidence
Racial group	No evidence
Age	No evidence
Marital status	No evidence
Sexual orientation	No evidence
Men women generally	No evidence
Disability	No evidence
Dependants	No evidence

Needs, experiences and priorities

Taking into account the information referred to above, what are the different needs, experiences and priorities of each of the following categories, in relation to the particular policy/decision? Specify for each of the section 75 categories.

Section 75 category	Details of needs/experiences/priorities
Religious belief	None
Political opinion	None
Racial group	None
Age	None
Marital status	None
Sexual orientation	None
Men women generally	None
Disability	None
Dependants	None

Part 2. Screening questions

Section 75 category	Details of policy impact	Level of impact? Minor/major/none
eligious belief	None	
olitical opinion	None	
Racial group	None	
Age .	None	
Marital status	None	
Sexual orientation	None	
len women generally	None	
Disability	None	
Dependants	None	

2 Are there opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity for people within the Section 75 equality categories?

Section 75 category	If yes, provide details	If no, provide reasons
Religious belief		Internal Technical Policy
Political opinion		Internal Technical Policy
Racial group		Internal Technical Policy
Age		Internal Technical Policy
Marital status		Internal Technical Policy
Sexual orientation		Internal Technical Policy
Men women generally		Internal Technical Policy
Disability		Internal Technical Policy
Dependants		Internal Technical Policy

3 To what extent is the policy likely to impact on good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group? Minor/major/none

Section 75 category	Details of policy impact	Level of impact Minor/major/none
Religious belief		None
Political opinion		None
Racial group		None

4 Are there opportunities to better promote good relations between people of
different religious belief, political opinion or racial group?

Section 75 category	If yes, provide details	If no, provide reasons
Religious belief		Internal technical policy
Political opinion		Internal technical policy
Racial group		Internal technical policy

Additional considerations

Multiple identity

Provide details of data on the impact of the policy on people with multiple identities. Specify relevant section 75 categories concerned.
Currently no date on people with multiple identities.
Part 3. Screening decision
If the decision is not to conduct an equality impact assessment, please provide details of the reason.
The decision is not to conduct an equality impact assessment as this is an internal technical policy
If the decision is not to conduct an equality impact assessment consider if the policy should be mitigated or an alternative policy be introduced.
Not applicable
If the decision is to subject the policy to an equality impact assessment. Please provide details of the reason.
Not applicable

Mitigation

Can the policy/decision be amended or changed or an alternative policy introduced to better promote equality of opportunity and/or good relations?

If so, give the **reasons** to support your decision, together with the proposed changes/amendments or alternative policy.

Not applicable			

Timetabling and prioritising

If the policy has been 'screened in' for equality impact assessment, then please answer the following questions to determine its priority for timetabling the equality impact assessment.

On a scale of 1-3, with 1 being the lowest priority and 3 being the highest, assess the policy in terms of its priority for equality impact assessment.

Priority criterion	Rating (1-3)
Effect on equality of opportunity and good relations	
Social need	
Effect on people's daily lives	
Relevance to a public authority's functions	

Note: The Total Rating Score should be used to prioritise the policy in rank order with other policies screening in for equality impact assessment. This list of priorities will assist in timetabling. Details of the Equality Impact Assessment Timetable should be included in the quarterly Screening Report.

Is the policy affected by timetables established by other relevant public authorities?

If yes, please provide details:

Part 4. Monitoring

The new library management system will facilitate greater analysis of the impact of Section 75.

Part 5. Approval and authorisation

Screened by:	Position/Job Title:	Date:
	Head of ICU/ICT	14/01/21
Approved by:		
D Mishely	Director of Business Support	2/02/2021

Note: A copy of the Screening template, for each policy screened should be 'signed off' and approved by a senior manager responsible for the policy, made easily accessible on the website as soon as possible following completion and made available on request.